



## Distributed Spacecraft Autonomy for Earth Observation

## Dr. Sreeja Nag

### NASA Ames Research Center Bay Area Environmental Research Institute

February 3, 2020

## Bay Area Environmental Research Institute

# **Space Robotics Operations**

NASA

- Scatter Maneuvering of Satellite Swarms using artificial potential fields
  - As an International Research Fellow in ESA ESTEC (Netherlands) in Summer 2010





S. Nag, L. Summerer, "Behaviorbased, Autonomous and Distributed Scatter Manouevres for Satellite Swarms", Acta Astronautica 82 (2013) 95-109

### SPHERES onboard the International Space Station:

- SPHERES Zero Robotics
  - SM thesis Crowdsourcing and STEM Education + Program lead 2011
  - ~1800 users, ~180,000 simulations in the first 4 months
  - Collaborative competition in S/W development, inter-team as alliances and within the game
  - Embedded system -> API -> Game Code -> User Code
  - All obstacles and game items were virtual
  - Sim, Ground, Alliance ,ISS competitions with astronauts



# **Space Robotics Operations**

Simulate Generate Code Procedure: void ZRUser(float \*mvState, float \*otherState, float time ProjectName Procedures **ZRIIse** /\* Global Library Variables \*/ ZRGetX void ZRUser(float state[12], float other state[12], float time) Global Variable New Variable return: iniAngle[3] panelPos My Projects Shared Projects Filter by Name Apply stimated Code Project Name ast Edited Sharing 3:22 EST, 12-2 greenWrenchesFina AsteroSPHERES 1 Show Not Shared -23299 v sreeianac 13:27 EST, 12-21 2011 **by sreejanag** techHighEinal AsteroSPHERES 7 Show Not Shared -2329% Not Shared AsteroSPHERES robovallElna 1 Show Not Shared -23299 18:49 EST, 12-01-2011 by sreeianag steroSPHERES sphReasoningBig 10 Shov

### Online gaming system for coding the SPHERES

**Bay Area** 

Environmental Research

Institute



Ground Competition at MIT



Example animation of an online SPHERES game



Finals broadcast from the ISS



S. Nag, J.G. Katz, A. Saenz-Otero, "Collaborative Gaming and Competition for CS-STEM Education using SPHERES Zero Robotics". Acta Astronautica 83 (2013) 145-174

S. Nag, J.A. Hoffman, O.L. de Weck, "Collaborative and Educational Crowdsourcing of Spaceflight Software using SPHERES Zero Robotics". International Journal of Space Technology Management and Innovation (IJSTMI), vol 2, no. 2. 2012.

S. Nag, I. Heffan, A. Saenz-Otero, M. Lydon, "SPHERES Zero Robotics software development: Lessons on crowdsourcing and collaborative competition", IEEE Aerospace Conference, Montana, March 2012

#### Better Science with Satellite Formation Agility Environmental Research Institute



### **BRDF** Estimation

**Bay Area** 

- Because reflectance values depend on the direction of solar illumination and direction of measured reflection
- Angular sampling is sparse using monolithic spacecrafts presenting an angular challenge
- Dependent products e.g. albedo, GPP



S. Nag, C.K. Gatebe, O.L. de Weck, "Observing System Simulations for Small Satellite Formations Estimating Bidirectional Reflectance", International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and **Geoinformation 43** (2015), 102-118

#### **Better Science with Satellite Formation Agility** Environmental Research Institute

### **Estimation Errors**

**Bay Area** 

- BRDF: 6 (or 8) single-sensor sats in some (or any) configurations give lower errors than 1 sat with 9 sensors
- Max Albedo: 3 (7) sats in absolute (%)
- Average NDVI: 3 (or 8) in some (or any) config beat
- PRI/GPP: **Reflectance errors** at 8 sats can cause 60-90% errors



S. Nag, T. Hewagama, G. Georgiev, B. Pasquale, S. Aslam, C. K. Gatebe, "Multispectral Snapshot Imagers onboard Small Satellite Formations for Multi-Angular Remote Sensing", IEEE Sensors Journal 17, no. 16 (2017), 5252-5268



S. Nag, C.K. Gatebe, T.Hilker, "Simulation of Multiangular Remote Sensing **Products Using Small Satellite** *Formations*", IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing 10, no. 2 (2017), 638-653

S. Nag, C.K. Gatebe, D.W. Miller, O.L. de Weck, "Effect of Satellite Formation Architectures and Imaging Modes on Global Albedo Estimation", Acta Astronautica 126 (2016), 77-97

### Agile Spacecraft Constellations Maximizing Coverage and Revisit



- Small Sat constellation + Full-body reorientation agility + Ground scheduling autonomy = More Coverage, for any given number of satellites in any given orbits
- Using Landsat as first case study (710 km, SSO, 15 deg FOV) w/ a 14 day revisit. Daily revisit needs ~15 satellites or 4 satellites with triple the FOV.
- Assuming a 20 kg satellite platform for option of agile pointing
- Scheduling algorithm allows 2 sat constellation over 12 hours to observe 2.5x compared to the fixed pointing
   T=96 approach. 1.5x with a 4-sat constellation
- Extendable to monitoring applications (e.g. coral reefs)

**Bay Area** 

Environmental Research

Institute

S. Nag, A.S. Li, J.H. Merrick, "Scheduling Algorithms for Rapid Imaging using Agile Cubesat Constellations", COSPAR Advances in Space Research -Astrodynamics 61, Issue 3 (2018), 891-913



**Bay Area** Environmental Research Institute

## Agile Spacecraft Constellations Maximizing **Coverage and Revisit**



Over 12 hours of planning horizon using 2 satellites, 180 deg apart in the same plane :

Using our proposed DP algorithm



Adding onboard autonomy to flight software + inter-sat communication to the constellation can improve science-driven responsiveness?

Using a fixed Landsat sensor, as is

#### Agile Spacecraft Constellations with Environmental Research **Delay Tolerant Networking for Reactive Monitoring** Institute



**Bay Area** 

Region access  $\mathbf{O}$ **Bundle Latency** gaps  $\odot$ Latency of delivery or Observation  $10^{3}$ seconds  $\mathbf{\bullet}$ Access Interrupt, in  $10^{2}$ • 10 2 3 5 6 8 9 10 12 13 15 14 11 Bundle or Packet Priority (lower level indicates higher priority)

S. Nag, A. S. Li, V. Ravindra, M. Sanchez Net, K.M. Cheung, R. Lammers, B. Bledsoe, "Autonomous Scheduling of Agile Spacecraft Constellations with Delay Tolerant Networking for Reactive Imaging", International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling SPARK Workshop, Berkeley CA, July 2019

If longest latency < shortest gap, for pairs with the same priority => each satellite can be considered fully updated with information from all others, i.e. perfect consensus is possible, in spite of distributed decisions made on a disjoint graph.

Initial Use Case: Episodic Precipitation and Transient Floods

**Bay Area** 

Environmental

Research Institute





Data: Dartmouth Flood Observatory (Brakenridge 2012)

Bay Area
Environmental
Research
Institute

### **D-SHIELD: Distributed Spacecraft with Heuristic Intelligence to Enable Logistical Decisions**





### Initial results:

Appropriately low latency in information exchange enables the onboard scheduler to observe ~7% more flood magnitude than a ground-based implementation.

Both onboard and offline versions performed ~98% better than constellations without agility.

S. Nag, M. Moghaddam, D. Selva, J. Frank, "D-SHIELD", IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, Hawaii, July 2020

## **Autonomating Spaceflight Traffic Management**









## **Questions?**

## <u>Sreeja.Nag@nasa.gov</u> <u>SreejaNag@alum.mit.edu</u>

#### **DP-based Onboard/Ground Scheduler** Environmental Research Institute



### **Information Flow between Scheduler Modules:**

**Bay Area** 





### Distributed Spacecraft Autonomy Flight Experiment



#### [0] Network Communication

#### [1] Ground Interaction through one swarm asset

Swarm assets will be able to relay information/files to all other assets, such that any one asset can communicate the state of the swarm and all its information to the ground station/s.

#### [2] Commanding of the swarm as one entity

Humans in ground stations will be able to send their intent to the swarm as commands through a single swarm asset.

#### [3] Coordination between multiple assets in the swarm

Swarm assets will be able to coordinate decisions and make plans among themselves, w/o input from humans or ground automation, however accounting for all such external inputs if they were to arrive.

### [4] Closed-loop control by the Swarm

The swarm will be able to control its behavior by processing past observations, making predictions based on results, executing new observations, and repeating this process through the experiment.

### [5] Adaptive reconfiguration by distributed planning

The swarm will be able to adapt its behavior to a change in the external environment (e.g. plasmasphere) and/or a change in the swarm itself (e.g. failed asset or asset component), by making new plans to replace old ones

### Funded by NASA STMD Game Changing Development Grant

### **Case Study: GPS Channel Selection/Allocation**

Spacecraft perform live channel selection to identify features of interest and reduce data volume without compromising performance



Bay Area
Environmental
Research
Institute

## **STM Roadmap**



- Proposed an STM architecture + software prototyped it with example service providers (e.g. CAS, AMA, SSA) and in-house toy models or publicly available algorithms for internal functions of the services. Will be natured over Tech Capability Levels like UTM
- Presented a

maneuver planner and reward function as a strawman for future STM services.

 The STM architecture and standardization of interaction between entities paves the way for a research ecosystem similar to other Al/autonomy fields



Development cycle of the STM prototype per Technical Capability Level:



**Bay Area** 

Environmental Research

Institute



NASA